### COUNTRY-POWERED INVESTMENTS FOR EVERY WOMAN, EVERY CHILD.

# **Portfolio update**





FOURTH INVESTORS GROUP MEETING

3 November, Dar es Salaam

### **Progress on key GFF processes**



- Finalized: Cameroon, Ethiopia (*national strategy*), Kenya, Liberia, Tanzania (*national strategy*)
- Nearly finalized: Bangladesh (*national strategy*), DRC, Uganda

Health financing

- Strategies awaiting approval: Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda
- Strategies under development: Cameroon, DRC, Liberia, Mozambique, Senegal
- Focus on implementation of reforms: Bangladesh, Vietnam

IDA/GFF Trust Fund financing  Approved: Cameroon, DRC, Kenya, Nigeria (emergency support to northeastern states), Tanzania, Uganda

### **Recent developments and emerging trends**

Shift to implementation

- Implementation beginning in a number of countries
- Tanzania and Kenya pioneers
  - Key question: how to maintain momentum behind coordinated approach

Increasing use of multisectoral approaches

- Education (e.g., PBF pilot in Cameroon, adolescent sexual and reproductive health in Bangladesh, Kenya, Liberia, and Uganda)
- Nutrition (e.g., household food security in Kenya, community-based and mobile delivery in Cameroon, Liberia, and Nigeria)
- Water and sanitation (e.g., hygiene promotion and latrines in DRC)
- Social protection (e.g., cash transfer for adolescent girls in Cameroon)
- Climate change (e.g., exploratory efforts in Bangladesh)

Increasing engagement of private sector

 Work at national level increasing in Cameroon, Kenya, Senegal, and Uganda (*details covered in private sector session*)

New countries

Process just beginning in Guatemala, Guinea, Myanmar, Sierra Leone, and Vietnam

### **Investment Cases: lessons learned and challenges**

### **Overall, quality of Investment Cases is improving over time**

#### Process

- Strong **government leadership** is key, with clear accountability at appropriately senior level
- Developing a **roadmap** at outset is important to orient all partners
- Different national contexts require different models
- Importance of developing a shared vision at outset
  - Grounding work in **results** to be achieved is essential
- Mental model of "laundry lists" with large gaps is common prioritization most difficult part of process (requires changing mindsets)
- Emphasis on looking at data and addressing underinvestment has worked 
   *historically neglected areas* included in most Investment Cases
- Focus on **equity** has been highly productive
  - Geographical focus has emerged as key way to prioritize
- Some **innovations** but not systematically focused on
- Mixed health systems thinking is not the norm

Technical content

### **Complementary financing for Investment Cases: lessons learned and challenges**

# Overall, robust engagement by financiers at national level $\rightarrow$ 3+ financiers supporting Investment Cases in almost all countries

- Key lessons:
  - Involving financiers from outset → greater ownership → greater likelihood of basing financing on Investment Case priorities
  - Cannot only be driven by MOH technical staff need buy-in of MOF and planning/budgeting side of MOH to incorporate into budgets/MTEFs
  - Links between Investment Cases and World Bank projects are critical but timing can be tricky
- Different models for complementary financing have emerged:
  - Basing new bi-/multilateral programs on Investment Case priorities (or realigning existing programs)
  - Establishing trust funds at the World Bank to finance priorities
  - Providing dedicated resources for technical assistance
- Proven to be a good way to engage financiers not on the Investors Group
- Budgeting and resource mapping have proven challenging:
  - Budgeting overly reliant on external support and tools that are not always wellsuited to approach
  - Some partners unable/unwilling to provide information for resource mapping

### **Health financing: lessons learned and challenges**

# Overall, GFF has given significant boost to process in many countries, but change is political and takes time

- Very different starting points among countries
  - Some countries have had strategies for years and/or have sense of intended reforms, others have never had strategies/have limited capacity
- Shift underway from emphasizing strategy to implementation of reforms
  - Development of a strategy is **not the end-point**: need to be clear on intended results and then determine best way to achieve them – not always a strategy (typically complicated, time-consuming, political process)
- Good analytical work does not automatically lead to reforms
  - Political economy considerations are key
- Engagement of ministries of finance has been uneven
- GFF can **reenergize agenda** with intense support: financing, TA, peer-topeer learning, capacity building, convening partners

## Technical content

- Mix of focus on three health financing functions: domestic resource mobilization, pooling, and purchasing
- Efficiency featuring in most countries, both technical (particularly public financial management reforms) and allocative (e.g., distribution between regions/counties)

#### Process





## www.globalfinancingfacility.org

## GFF@worldbank.org





